

REMARKS

The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the reasons that follow.

A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate status identifier.

Claims 31-39 and 42-50 are now pending in this application.

1. Rejection of Claims 31-39 and 42-50 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as Being Anticipated by Park

In section 3 of the Office Action, claims 31-39 and 42-50 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Park (U.S. Patent No. 6,937,588). The Applicants respectfully submit that Park fails to anticipate the rejected claims for at least the reasons that follow.

Claims 36 and 47 each recite a combination including, among other elements, “a third server in communication with the first server and with the second server and the third server being a source for the content requested by the handheld computer and the third server receiving the request from the second server and delivering the content back to the second server,” which is not identically disclosed by Park. Specifically, Park does not identically disclose “a third server in communication with the first server and with the second server,” where the third server is the “source for the content requested by the handheld computer.” Park discloses a system where a relay server is used to communicate between a wireless access protocol (WAP) terminal and a WAP server. Col. 9, lines 50-53. The WAP server may request content from a web server based on a request received from the WAP terminal via the relay server. Col. 9, line 66 to col. 10, line 2. Park does not disclose that the web server (i.e., the source for the content) is in communication with both the WAP server and the relay: “the relay performs only a simple relay function of intermediating communications between the WAP terminal 210b and the WAP server 230b.” Col. 9, lines 57-60. Therefore,

Park fails to identically disclose at least one element in each of claims 36 and 47.

Accordingly, the Applicants submit that claims 36 and 47 are not anticipated by Park, and respectfully request that the rejection of independent claims 36 and 47, and corresponding dependent claims 31-35, 37-39, 42-46, and 48-50, be withdrawn.

The Applicants believe that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, the Applicants hereby petition for such extension under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1447.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 3/2/2006

By Chad E. Bement

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
777 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-5306
Telephone: (414) 297-5554
Facsimile: (414) 297-4900

Chad E. Bement
Attorney for the Applicants
Registration No. 54,991